

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/370,648 | 08/06/1999 | ROBERT LINLEY MUIR | 2741/FBR | 8315 | |
| | 590 12/01/2001 | | | | |
| SHAHAN ISLAM ROSENMAN & COLIN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585 | | | EXAMINER HOTALING, JOHN M | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 3713 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | <u> </u> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|---|
| * | | 09/370,648 | MUIR, ROBERT LINLEY |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | John M Hotaling II | 3713 |
| | is communicatio | | th the correspondence address |
| If NO period for reply is specified above, the | communications of 37 Cotte of this communications than thirty (30) days the maximum statutory period for reply will, by three months after the | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communic | cation(s) filed or | 1 <u>15 October 2001</u> . | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ | This action is non-final. | |
| | | allowance except for formal mat nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I | tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-152</u> is/are per | nding in the appl | ication. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | <u>150-152</u> is/are v | vithdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allo | wed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are reje | ected. | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-149</u> is/are obje | ected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-152</u> are subject | t to restriction a | nd/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected | ed to by the Exa | miner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on | is/are: a)[| accepted or b) objected to by t | he Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request | that any objection | to the drawing(s) be held in abeya | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing cor | rection filed on ₋ | is: a)□ approved b)□ d | isapproved by the Examiner. |
| | | in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is | objected to by th | ne Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 ar | nd 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made | of a claim for for | preign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ | None of: | | |
| Certified copies of t | he priority docu | ments have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of t | he priority docu | ments have been received in A | pplication No |
| application from | n the Internation | e priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not | received in this National Stage received. |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made o | of a claim for do | mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application |

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Attachment(s)

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Application/Control Number: 09/370,648

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims do not represent distinct inventions and would not impose an undue burden on the examiner to search. This is not found persuasive because the restriction is between a method of operating a game system and a virtual casino are which separate and distinct inventions. Additionally it is unclear how the applicant's representative determined that the search would not impose an undo burden on the examiner. A mere assertion of undue burden by the examiner is does not present the necessary evidence to traverse the restriction requirement. The examiner contends that Group I is related to a actual game system and the functionalities of the hardware of that system and that Group II is related to a virtual casino system wherein the term virtual sends the examiner to a different and distinct body of art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Undue Multiplicity of Claims

2. The examiner notes that the applicant has elected Group I drawn to a method of operating a game system. In the previous office action the examiner noted that if Group I was selected in the restriction requirement that Claims 1-149 are subject to an undue multiplicity of claims rejection see MPEP 2173.05(n). The applicant's representative was previously telephoned on 8/31/01 and advised by the examiner that if group I of the

restriction was elected that it would be subject to an undue multiplicity of claims rejection. In receipt of this office action the applicant is informed that the selected group I is subject to an undue multiplicity of claims rejection and that the applicant must select 30 claims for examination and that the rest of the claims will be withdrawn from consideration.

The applicant shall select 30 claims in accordance with the above undue multiplicity of claims rejection.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Hotaling II whose telephone number is 703 305 0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308-7768 for regular communications and 703 308-7769 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7777.

John M Hotaling II

November 8, 2001

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.